

Prosthetic Rehabilitation and the TMD patient: When and what?

Asbjørn Jokstad, DDS, PhD Professor and Head, Prosthodontics University of Toronto



Themes to cover

- Given question deconstructed and refocused
- 2. Describe current problems with TMD as a disease entity
- 3. Prosthodontic therapy, demand and dogmas
- 4. The current scientific evidence to answer the given question
- 5. Prosthodontic management issues relative to patients with a TMD history



Prosthetic Rehabilitation and the TMD patient: When and what?

An <u>individual</u> enough distressed by real or perceived <u>symptoms localized to the</u> <u>stomatognathic apparatus</u> to <u>seek therapy</u> from a *health professional:*

Dentist... Family Physician... Kinesiology ... Naprapathy... Pain expertise... Pharmacotherapy .. Physiotherapy... Posturologists ++ ?...Craniosacral- / Sacro-occipital therapy ?

Prasthetic Rehabilitation and the TMD pattern: When and what An <u>individual</u> enough distressed by real or perceived

symptoms localized to the stomatognathic apparto seek therapy from a health professional

Prosthetic <u>Rehabilitation</u> and the TMD patient: When and what

<u>Rehabilitate</u> and <u>habilitate</u> are different entities. Infer that something preexisting has been lost, i.e., to be restored/readapted to former (health) state/condition. In dentistry, commonly applied to restore <u>lost fissue</u>. In other biomedical fields often to <u>lost function</u>.







Primary refocused question to answer

Are patients undergoing therapy for their TMD problems affected by rehabilitation of form and/or function using a prosthetic therapy with regard to <u>precipitating</u> or <u>alleviating</u> their existing TMDs?

Primary refocused question to answer

Are patients undergoing therapy for their TMD problems affected by rehabilitation of form and/or function using a prosthetic therapy with regard to <u>precipitating</u> or <u>alleviating</u> their existing TMDs?

Less focus: Can rehabilitation of form and/or function using prosthetic therapies *initiate*, alternatively *prevent* future TMDs?

Themes to cover



Problem 1 - Clear understanding/consensus of the TMD disorder/disease subcategories?

- The Helkimo Index
- (Helkimo, 1974)
- The Craniomandibular Index
- (CMI, Friction & Shiffman, 1986) • The Research Diagnostic Criteria for
- TMD
- (<u>RDC/TMD, Dworkin and LeResche, 1992</u>) • The Diagnostic Criteria for TMD
- (DC/TMD 2010/2011(?))

of the TMD disorder/disease subcategories? (ICD-10: 607.6) Helkimo / CM/(RDC-TMD/DC-TMD index/criteria? Entity separate from other health conditions? Pain local to oral, face, head, neck & shoulder, elsewhere (Diseases of the Nervous system (G00-G99)/ Musculoskeletal system and Connective tissue (M00-M99) Somatoform disorders (F45.8), e.g., Bruxism (Pathological) Tooth attrition (K03.0) Sleep Disorders (G47), e.g., obstructive sleep apnoea

Problem 1 - Clear understanding/consensus

Problem 2 - The Inter-examiner reliability of assessing clinical signs and symptoms of TMDs is highly variable rained, Calibrated Examiners Clinical finding Degree of reliabi andibular opening (mm) high eral excursion (mm) adequat oening pattern (left, right, left corrected, right corrected, straight) w / unacceptal int sounds (click, hard grating, soft crepitus, none) adequate in on palpation: intraoral & extraoral muscles adequate un on palpation: temporomandibular join low / unacceptab in on mandibular movement adequate C Axis I diagnoses (various combinations of the above





- 3. Wish for a nicer smile?
- 4. Because they can show they can afford prosthodontic treatment?
- 5. Hope for improved chewing?
- 6. Other reasons?











Alternative PICO(S) questions:					
Patient	Intervention	Comparative intervention /	Outcomes		
TMD and desire for rehabilitation of oral form/function					
Modifiers:					
1. Relatively Intact dentition					
2. Loss of molar support					
3. Edentulous jaws					
4. Loss of VDO					
5. Disc Displacement					
6. Bruxing					
7. General diseases					

Alternative PICO(S) questions:				
Patient	Intervention	Compare	Outco	
TMD and desire for rehabilitation of oral form/function	Partial fixed /removable Full fixed /removable Implant-retained & designs And/or with occlusion concept			
Modifiers: 1. Relatively Intact dentition	1. occlusal scheme design 2. lateral guidance and mediotrusive balance			
2. Loss of molar support 3. Edentulous jaws 4. Loss of VDO	3. anterior tooth arrangement Modifiers: - Canine vs group function			
5. Disc Displacement 6. Bruxing 7. General diseases	- Tooth types (e.g. cusp angle) - Shortened Dental Arch - Intermaxillary relationship Vertical: VOD/rest position Horisontal: RCP-ICP distance			

Patient	Intervention	Comparative intervention	Outcome
TMD and desire for rehabilitation of oral form/function Modifiers: 1. Relatively Intact dentition 2. Loss of molar	AD and desire for habilitation of ora m function of function m function of function m function	None Or Reversible Or Minimally	
support 3. Edentulous jaws 4. Loss of VDO 5. Disc Displacement 6. Bruxing 7. General diseases		invasive Or Alternative intervention	

Alternative Pico(3) questions.					
Patient	Intervention	Compare	Outcome		
TMD and desire for rehabilitation of oral form / function Modifiers: 1. Relatively Intact dentition 2. Loss of molar support 3. Edentulous Jaws 4. Loss of VDO 5. Disc Displacement 6. Bruxing 7. General diseases	Will a: Partial fixed /removable Full fixed /removable Implant-retained & designs And/or with occlusion concept 1. occlusal scheme design 2. anterior tooth arrangement Modifiers: - Canine vs group function - Shortened Dental Arch - Intermacillary relationship Vertical: VOD/rest position	None Or Reversible Or Minimally invasive Or Alternative intervention	a) Patient- relevant b) Clinical c) Surrogate d) Societal ? Prosthesis longevity ? Increase /decrease of TMD signs and symptom:		







Netical information addressing whether reinabilitation of form and/or function using anosthetic theraples precipitation or alleviate existing against and symptoms of THOP Charles and symptoms of the precipitation of the precipitation and the precipitation of the precipitation of the precipitation and the precipitation of the precipitation of the precipitation and the precipitation of the precipitation of the precipitation of the precipitation and the precipitation of the precipitation of the precipitation of the precipitation of the precipitation and the precipitation of the precipita







Prosthetic Rehabilitation and the TMD patient: When and what?

A question that is no longer relevant ... if ever it was: Do patients with existing TMDs get better if the curative therapy consists of the provision of a tissue/tooth/implant-supported fixed/removable dental prosthesis?







- "Orthopedic stability" of joint
- Altered propriosensory input to CNS
- Since 70-ies, "disk recapturing" using an anterior displacement splint advocated

(Farrar, 1972)

E.g., Summer & Westesson. Mandibular repositioning can be effective in treatment of reducing TMJ disk displacement. A long-term clinical and MR imaging follow-up. ranio 1997; 15: 107-20.



Anterior disk displacement

Anterior repositioning of jaw by habitual 24-hour use of repositioning splint with the intention of promoting adaptation of retrodiscal tissues



 Subsequent orthodontic or prosthodontic correction of space? Originally Yes YES: Moloney ea 1986, Lundh 1997, Summer ea 1997 MO: Keeling ea, 1989, Tallents ea 1990, Parker 1993, Orenstein 1993, Okeson 1988

Literature inconclusive - primarily due to vague / surrogate outcome reporting









Bruxism (ICD-10 F45.8)

- Patients with bruxism having received a prosthodontic intervention have had:
- no effects on incidence or level of nocturnal or diurnal bruxism
- conversely, Patients having received a prosthodontic intervention therapy have shown:
- no development of nocturnal or diurnal bruxism

🐌 Bruxism & rehabilitation

- Minimize risk of technical/mechanical problems:
- FDP: Minimize number of:
- Units in FDP(s) (multiple short rather than long segments)
 Pontics
- Cantilevers (especially if non-vital teeth) • High strength material versus aesthetic
- compromises
- All-metal >> Metal ceramic >> All ceramic
 Cobalt-chromium >> Gold-alloy -DELTA
- RDP: Bulk+composite fibre/metal reinforcement
- Consider full coverage splint during sleep

Reduced Vertical Dimension of Occlusion

- Is tooth substance loss without compensatory tooth eruption and/or alveolar crest height increase
- 1. ...that remain unchanged a risk factor for initiating TMD?





Information and Communication and is essential!

- Patients should be specifically reminded that there is a chance of symptoms exacerbating during or after the prosthetic rehabilitation.
 Relapses can happen independently from
- the intervention and just by chance alone. Excessive time in patient chair may exacerbate symptoms. Use bite props and limit / break up the operation time



Caveats if treating patients with current history of TMD

- The registration of the maxillomandibular relations can be incorrect if the movement range is affected
- Registration can also be hampered by voluntary or reflexive muscle
- splinting upon attempt to guide the mandible into centric relation



Caveats if treating patients with current history of TMD

- The registration of the maxillo-mandibular relations car be incorrect if the movement range is affected
 Registration can also be hampered by voluntary or reflexive muscle splinting upon attempt to guide the mandible into centric relation
- The use of a splint can disrupt the existing neuromuscular engram so that the recording of centric relation can be facilitated
- Do patients with current or past history of 1 TMD have a different threshold for adapting to maxillomandibular relation changes?
- The literature is inconclusive





